

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Richard L. Sites Art Unit : 2624
Serial No. : 10/849,409 Examiner : Katrina R. Fujita
Filed : May 18, 2004 Confirmation No.: 6976
 Notice of Allowance Date: August 7, 2008
Title : ESTIMATING COMPRESSED STORAGE SIZE OF DIGITAL DATA

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE

In response to the Notice of Allowance mailed August 7, 2008, enclosed are a completed issue fee transmittal form PTOL-85b (1 page) and Comments on Examiner's Reasons for Allowance (1 page).

Please apply the required fee of \$1510, as well as any additional charges or credits to our Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 29, 2008

/Brian J. Gustafson/

Brian J. Gustafson
Reg. No. 52,978

Customer Number 21876
Fish & Richardson P.C.
Telephone: (858) 678-5070
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Richard L. Sites Art Unit : 2624
Serial No. : 10/849,409 Examiner : Katrina R. Fujita
Filed : May 18, 2004 Confirmation No.: 6976
Notice of Allowance Date: August 7, 2008
Title : ESTIMATING COMPRESSED STORAGE SIZE OF DIGITAL DATA

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The applicant recognizes that in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, the examiner's reasons for allowance need not set forth all of the details as to why the claims are allowed.

The applicant does not concede that the examiner's stated reasons for allowance are the only reasons for which the claims are allowable. The claims may be allowable for other reasons as well. In particular, the applicant does not concede that all of the limitations identified by the examiner are necessary to distinguish the prior art of record or to satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112. In addition, the examiner does not assert, and the applicant would not concede, that the examiner's reasons have any bearing on the patentability of claims in any other applications directed to the disclosed subject matter.

In addition, each dependent claim stands on its own and is allowable on its own merits. In particular, each dependent claim may be allowable on the basis of a combination of some of the features recited in the dependent claim and its base claim(s), which combination of features may not include all of the limitations identified in the examiner's reasons for allowance.

Please apply any charges or credits related to this paper to our Deposit Account No.
06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 29, 2008

/Brian J. Gustafson/

Customer Number 21876
Fish & Richardson P.C.
Telephone: (858) 678-5070
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945

Brian J. Gustafson
Reg. No. 52,978